International Coordination of Research on Infectious Animal Diseases (ICRAD) First Call- 2023

Editorial and Abstracts

International Coordination of Research on Infectious Animal Diseases (ICRAD) First Call: Editorial and Abstracts

Jens Nielsen

Coordinator-ICRAD. National Institute of Aquatic Resources, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark; www.aqua.dtu.dk.

ICRAD First Call 2023

*Correspondence:
Jens Nielsen
jeni@aqua.dtu.dk

Abstract

The ICRAD ERA-NET launched its first call in 2020, with funding partners from twenty European countries. The aim was to foster a collaborative and interdisciplinary approach towards developing innovative instruments for the control and prevention of animal infectious diseases. Particularly, with a focus on creating vaccine and diagnostic technology platforms to enhance preparedness for major epidemic threats such as African swine fever and animal influenza. The first ICRAD call received significant support, totaling €17.4 million from partner countries alongside an additional €6.7 million top-up from the European Commission (EC). These funds were allocated to nineteen research project consortiums, consisting of researchers from across Europe. Through their collective efforts, these consortia have made remarkable progress in addressing various infectious disease risks faced by European animal health and the livestock industry. The following text encapsulates abstracts from eleven out of the nineteen projects that received funding through the first ICRAD research call. It's noteworthy to observe that several of these projects are addressing pressing issues related to ongoing animal health outbreaks. For instance, three of these funded projects are dedicated to enhancing our comprehension of the African swine fever virus (ASFV) and fostering the development of a potential vaccine. Another project is honing its focus on avian influenza, with the objective of deciphering the factors contributing to increased virulence in low pathogenic avian influenza (LPAI) viruses. This could potentially enable us to better predict the severity of emerging avian influenza virus (AIV) strains. In addition, there are several other projects that align seamlessly with the overarching goals of ICRAD. These include but are not limited to, the development of innovative DNA vaccine technologies and the creation of diagnostic tools for a spectrum of pig diseases. Overall, we have funded a range of projects that align excellently with the overall goals of ICRAD, supporting innovative, cross-cutting research on animal health and welfare, with associated benefits for the environment and the economy. These projects represent significant strides in improving animal health, welfare, and food production throughout Europe. By fostering collaboration between partner institutes and bringing together experts from various countries and disciplines, these joint efforts can effectively combine knowledge, resources, and expertise to address complex challenges and threats. This coordination is crucial for identifying, preventing, and responding to emerging animal diseases that could not only endanger animal health but also have direct or indirect implications for human well-being. Moreover, this cross-border cooperation can yield more comprehensive research outcomes while developing innovative solutions and strategies for disease prevention and control. Ultimately, international scientific coordination on animal health showcased in the following abstracts demonstrates a strong commitment to cooperation while providing robust evidence to safeguard the health of animals, humans, and the environment. ICRAD, which organized this call and allocated grants to these projects is an ERA-NET, a co-funded project under the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation program.

Keywords: ICRAD, ERA-NET, African swine fever virus, Avian influenza, DNA vaccine, Food production, Prevention and control, One-Health

Characterization of virus- and host-specific modulation of type-I IFN in African swine fever virus virulence or attenuation

Daniel Pérez-Núñez[1], Marek Walczak[2], Lihong Liu[3], Robert Fux[4], Julia-Gata-de-Benito[1], Anna Szczotka-Bochniarz[2], Gerd Sutter[4] and Yolanda Revilla[1]*

[1]Centro de Biología Molecular Severo Ochoa, CSIC-UAM, Microbes in Health and Welfare Department, c/Nicolás Cabrera 1, 28049 Madrid, Spain. [2]National Veterinary Research Institute, 57 Partyzantów Avenue, 24-100 Pulawy, Poland. [3]National Veterinary Institute, SE-756 51 Uppsala, Sweden. [4]Division of Virology, Department of Veterinary Sciences, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany.

ICRAD First Call 2023

*Correspondence:
Yolanda Revilla
yrevilla@cbm.csic.es

Abstract

African swine fever virus (ASFV) is a dsDNA virus that infects domestic pigs and wild boar, currently causing the largest animal epidemic affecting numerous countries in four continents and for which there is no commercial vaccine, representing the greatest threat to the pig industry worldwide. The virulence of ASFV varies between strains, with virulent strains producing up to 100% mortality, while attenuated strains produce only chronic disease. One of the key mechanisms determining ASFV virulence is its ability to control the innate immune response, particularly the production of type I IFN through the cGAS/STING pathway. Identifying and characterizing the viral genes involved in IFN control is thus key to understanding the molecular mechanisms of ASFV virulence and vaccine development. The aim of the IFNASF project is to coordinate the efforts of four European laboratories (CBMSO in Spain, LMU in Germany, NVRI in Poland, and SVA in Sweden) in order to answer these questions and to approach a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms of ASFV virulence. To this end, we have carried out different approaches combining *in-vivo* and *in-vitro* studies in order to identify and characterize new ASFV genes involved in virulence. On the one hand, we have selected a series of genes by sequence comparison between virulent and attenuated isolates, together with identifying genes absent in spontaneous attenuated mutants (CBMSO). On the other hand, following an *in-vivo* experiment with the virulent Arm/07/CBM/c2 strain (NVRI), a scRNAseq was performed in which viral genes present in cells that did not express genes related to type I IFN were identified (SVA). Some of these genes were cloned into appropriate expression vectors, and different screenings based on luciferase assays and/or qPCR were performed to analyze the interference of these genes in the production of type I IFN in different cell models (CBMSO). After these screenings, genes potentially involved in the control of type I IFN production during ASFV infection were selected and cloned for the generation of recombinant MVA to further study their mechanism of action by taking advantage of the strong heterologous expression system (LMU) and based on this information, ASFV specific deletion viruses will be generated (CBMSO). Finally, the ASFV deletion mutants will be used for animal trials to analyze the correlation between the presence/absence of these gene(s), their control over IFN-I production, and their virulence (NVRI). Both recombinant MVAs and ASFVs viruses will be, in addition to tools to advance the knowledge of ASFV virulence and its control over the innate immune response, prototypes that could be used as future vaccines or vaccine components against ASFV in the near future.

Keywords: African swine fever, Modulation, Virulence, Immune response

From proteogenomic host response signatures of persistent Foot-and-Mouth Disease Virus (FMDV) infection to diagnostic markers and therapeutic control (FMDV_PersIstOmics)

Ignacio Alvarez[1], Labib Bakkali Kassimi[2], Abdulnaci Bulut[3], Sena İnel-Turgut[3], Pelin Göktuna[3], Yongzhi Guo[1], Sara Hägglund[1], Anja Landmesser[4], Benedikt Litz[4], Caroline Michaud[2], Ünal Parlak[3], Florian Pfaff[4], Nick de Regge[5], Aurore Romey[2], Stephan Zientara[2], Can Çokçalışkan[3], David Lefebvre[5], Jean-François Valarcher[1], Michael Eschbaumer[4] and Sandra Blaise-Boisseau[2*]

[1]Host-Pathogen Interaction Group, Section of Ruminant Medicine, Department of Clinical Science, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU), Uppsala, Sweden. [2]UMR Virologie, INRAE, ENVA, ANSES, Laboratory for Animal Health, EURL for Foot-and-Mouth Disease, Université Paris-Est, 94700 Maisons-Alfort, France. [3]SAP Institute NRLFMD, Quality Control Department, Dumlupınar Bulvarı No:35, 06044 Ankara, Turkey. [4]Institute of Diagnostic Virology, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Federal Research Institute for Animal Health, Suedufer 10, 17493 Greifswald-Insel Riems, Germany. [5]Unit for exotic and vector-borne diseases, Department of Infectious Diseases in Animals, EURL for Foot-and-Mouth Disease Sciensano, 1180 Brussels, Belgium.

ICRAD First Call 2023

*Correspondence:
Sandra Blaise-Boisseau
mailto:Sandra.blaise-boisseau@anses.fr

Abstract

Foot-and-Mouth Disease (FMD) is one of the most important and devastating contagious viral diseases of cloven-hoofed livestock with severe global socio-economic impact. More than 50% of ruminants that had been exposed to FMDV, even those in which prior vaccination has prevented clinical disease, will carry the virus in the nasopharynx for a prolonged period, which is referred to as persistent infection or the "carrier state". Carriers are often considered a potential source of infectious viruses and represent an impediment to international trade. Even after decades of research, the mechanisms underlying FMDV persistence remain largely unknown. Investigations performed so far suggest that the maintenance of persistent infection is mainly related to the host's immune responses. Filling the knowledge gap regarding the carrier state is critical to predicting, preventing, detecting, or curing FMDV persistence and ending the mass culling of exposed animal populations during disease outbreaks in free areas. This project aims to (i/WP1) uncover alterations of the host response during persistent FMDV infection of cattle, (ii/WP2) evaluate genes highly regulated during FMDV persistence as candidate host markers of persistent infection, and (iii/WP3) identify pathways that could be targeted to prevent the establishment of FMDV persistence or terminate the infection. In WP1, a workflow of transcriptomic analyses was optimized to investigate 52 selected nasopharynx samples from 16 carrier cattle. A differential gene expression analysis then identified relevant genes as candidate host markers of FMDV persistence. In parallel, viral genomes collected during acute and persistent phases were full-length sequenced and compared, and some variations have been highlighted. We have also started to assess, *in-vitro* and *in-vivo*, the role of FMDV immune evasion in persistence. In WP2, a bank of 78 clinical samples collected from 39 bovines during 6 FMDV outbreaks occurring in Turkey in 2021 was created. Of these 39 bovines, 64% were persistently infected by the FMD virus. A multiplex rt-RT-PCR was developed on two candidate host markers of FMDV persistence and one epithelial marker as quality control. This rt-RT-PCR will be used to validate the potential of these candidate genes as persistence markers by evaluating their kinetic expression during the infection. This prototype of rt-RT-PCR will then be tested on the bank of clinical samples from Turkey (non-persistently and persistently infected animals) to determine its diagnostic and predictive values of FMDV persistence in bovine. In WP3, we have started to decipher the mechanisms of the modulation of FMDV persistence by interference in the type I interferon response, and the work is still ongoing. In parallel, we work to establish a guinea pig *in-vivo* model of FMDV persistence as a small-scale alternative for cumbersome infection experiments in cattle. Adapting the FMDV strain of interest and the inoculation method are in progress. Overall, the outcomes of this collaborative project will contribute to determining mechanisms and factors that may help to prevent or control persistent infection and improve diagnostics.

Keywords: Foot-and-Mouth Disease, FMDV, Proteogenomic, Host response, Diagnostic markers

A comprehensive proteogenomic analysis of *Brucella* to understand the epidemiology, biology, virulence mechanisms, and host-pathogen interaction

Gamal Wareth[1*], **Tariq Jamil**[1], **Kadir Akar**[2], **Sevil Erdenlig**[3], **Vassilios Sandalakis**[4], **Maria Babetsa**[5], **Evridiki Boukouvala**[5], **Anna Psaroulaki**[4], **Jayaseelan Murugaiyan**[6] and **Heinrich Neubauer**[1]

[1]Institute of Bacterial Infections and Zoonoses, Fredrich-Loeffler-Institut, 07743 Jena, Germany. [2]NRL for Brucellosis, Pendik Veterinary Control Institute, 34890 Istanbul, Turkey. [3]Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Harran University, 63300 Şanlıurfa, Turkey. [4]Department of Clinical Microbiology and Microbial Pathogenesis, School of Medicine, University of Crete, 71110 Heraklion, Greece. [5]ELGO-DIMITRA, Veterinary Research Institute, Campus of Thermi, 57001, Thermi, Thessaloniki, Greece. [6]Department of Biological Sciences, SRM University AP, Andhra Pradesh 522240, India.

ICRAD First Call 2023

*Correspondence:
Gamal Wareth
gamal.wareth@fli.de

Abstract

Brucellosis is a debilitating and incapacitating bacterial disease affecting humans, livestock, and wildlife. Several aspects of its biology, host/pathogen interaction, and virulence mechanisms are not understood yet. In the current project, several activities were performed in each work package to explain its epidemiology, virulence mechanisms, and host specificity, as well as to understand the role of wildlife in the transmission and dissemination of the infection. In WP1, an assessment of brucellosis in wildlife in European countries showed that there is a potential threat to people and domestic animals exposed to wildlife. Most of the infections that occurred in wildlife species were due to *B. suis* bv 2, *B. ceti*, and *B. pinnipedialis*, which seems to be not of zoonotic importance. However, *B. suis* bv 3, *B. abortus*, *B. melitensis*, and *B. canis* pose a significant zoonotic threat. In WP2, we collected 200 *B. abortus* and *B. melitensis* isolates from different hosts in Greece and Turkiye. All isolates were sequenced, and comparative genome analysis using NGS technology showed no significant differences in the distribution of virulence genes among *B. abortus* and *B. melitensis* strains, even for those isolated from different hosts. In WP3, 60 selected isolates were cultured every four times independently, and mass spectrometry-based quantitative proteomics analysis was carried out to identify the unique and cross-reactive proteins in isolates from different hosts. In WP4, trophoblast cell from bovine tissue was prepared and infected with *Brucella*. Different infection times have been evaluated with *B. abortus* and *B. melitensis*; adhesion and invasion evaluations are in progress. In WP5, the culture of four different *B. melitensis* was carried out at normal pH, followed by exposure to different pH for 1, 2, and 3 hours. This was followed by RNA isolation, cDNA generation, and RT-PCR with specific primers designed to amplify five different genes. The stability of the genes was assessed using statistical methods, and only one gene showed a more relatively stable status. Primers were designed to amplify the RelE, BrnT, Fic, and cogt genes belonging to the toxin-antitoxin system and the type IV secretion system, as well as OtpR, a response regulator with a significant role in *Brucella* metabolism and virulence under acidic stress. In WP6, the preliminary results were published in a review article and two conference abstracts. Additionally, two full articles are under review. To implement knowledge transfer between partners, work protocols were shared among partners, and workshop and training programs were carried out at the FLI, Germany. As outcomes, identification and monitoring of the existence of *Brucella* in the environment and wildlife ecosystems is of supreme significance in healthcare and community settings. It will help to understand the role of those reservoirs in the epidemiology and transmission of brucellosis. A comprehensive understanding of *Brucella*'s genomic and proteomic contents will facilitate a greater understanding of its biology, improve the development of species-specific treatment in humans, design better diagnostic tools and vaccines, and clarify several aspects of *Brucella* pathogenesis.

Keywords: Brucellosis, Genomics, Proteomics, Virulence, Host-pathogen interaction

African swine fever pathogenesis and immune responses in resistant and susceptible hosts

Virginia Friedrichs[1], Artur Summerfield[2], Tosca Ploegaert[3], Hans Nauwynck[4], Nick De Regge[5], Thomas B. Rasmussen[6], Sandra Blome[1]*

[1]Institute of Diagnostic Virology, Fredrich-Loeffler-Institut, 17493 Greifswald – Insel Riems, Germany. [2]Institute of Virology and Immunology, University of Bern, 3147 Mittelhäusern, Switzerland. [3]Stichting Wageningen Research, Wageningen Bioveterinary Research, 8221 RA / 8200 AB Lelystad, Netherlands. [4]Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Ghent, 9820 Merelbeke, Belgium. [5]Sciensano Infectious Animal Diseases, 1050 Brussels, Belgium. [6]Department of Virus & Microbiological Special Diagnostics, Statens Serum Institut, 2300 Copenhagen, Denmark.

ICRAD First Call 2023

*Correspondence:
Sandra Blome
Sandra.Blome@fli.de

Abstract

African swine fever (ASF) is one of the most complex viral diseases and has a devastating socio-economic impact. Over the last 15 years, the ASF virus has been transmitted to several territories and is still spreading. The disease originated from sub-Saharan Africa and maintains a sylvatic cycle between warthogs and soft ticks. In warthogs, ASF is not associated with severe clinical signs or mortality. However, introducing the disease into the domestic pig sector or Eurasian wild suids leads to severe multi-systemic disease that can resemble a viral hemorrhagic fever with exceptionally high lethality. Comprehensive knowledge about correlates of protection, severe clinical outcomes, and pathogenesis is scarce. To facilitate our understanding of ASF, the ASF-RASH consortium aimed to identify factors determining host/cellular susceptibility and protection at three levels: the virus, the host species, and their respective immune cells. Within Work Package (WP) 1, we conducted studies into the role of male animals in ASFV transmission. In the first experiment, domestic and wild boar were inoculated with ASFV isolates of different genotypes. Viral antigens, mRNA, and infectious particles were detected in all male reproductive tissues. In a following trial, we demonstrated the suitability of artificial insemination as an alternative transmission route for ASFV. Viral antigens, viral genomes, and infectious particles were found in male and female reproductive organs and deformed embryos. Furthermore, a trial addressed whether previous infection with a moderately virulent strain ('Estonia2014') can protect pigs from lethal challenges with a highly virulent strain ('Armenia2008'). All nine pigs survived the challenge; however, four individuals were shedding infectious particles upon challenge. Studies into responses upon infection with very low viral doses are ongoing. A study embedded in WP2 demonstrated a striking difference in the immune cell landscape of SPF and conventionally housed pigs. The latter had higher frequencies of leukocyte populations (identified by flow cytometry), and cells had a more proinflammatory phenotype (cytokines). Upon infection with 'Estonia2014', SPF pigs were largely protected from excessive inflammation, mortality, and immunopathology, contrary to conventional pigs. Survivors were protected from a lethal challenge ('Armenia2008'), and reactivation of specific leukocyte subpopulations has since been discussed as a putative correlate of protection. The pathogenesis of ASFV variants originating from four German districts was assessed compared to well-characterized strains Estonia2014' and 'Armenia2008' within WP2. Analyses revealed that the pathological fingerprint of all German isolates largely compares to that of 'Armenia2008'. All inoculates and organ samples are sequenced to determine whether the virus alters its genome composition during an animal passage (WP5). Since macrophages are the primary target cell type of ASFV, interactions of these cells with representatives of different ASFV genotypes (gt I: 'E70', gt II: 'BEL18') were analyzed within WP4, as legs and ears are often discolored during ASF, explants of veins from ears and legs were utilized. Higher replication rates of gt I were observed in ear vein explants, whereas gt II showed increased replication in leg vein explants.

Keywords: African swine fever, Pathogenesis, Immune responses

Channel-based biosensors to support improved bovine mastitis management

Łukasz Gontar[1], Maksymilian Kochański[1], Andżelika Drutowska[1], Māra Pilmane[2], Ksenija Šerstņova[2], Gergely Maróti[3], Anandapadmanabhan A. Rajendran[4], Hedieh Haji-Hashemi[4] and Beatriz Prieto-Simón[4,5,*]

[1]Research and Innovation Centre Pro-Akademia, Innowacyjna 9/11, 95-050 Konstantynów Łódzki, Poland. [2]The Institute of Anatomy and Anthropology, Rīga Stradiŋš University, 9 Kronvalda bulvaris, 1010 Rīga, Latvia. [3]Seqomics Biotechnology Ltd., 6782 Morahalom, Vallalkozak utja 7, Hungary. [4]Department of Electronic Engineering, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Av. Països Catalans 26, 43007 Tarragona, Spain. [5]ICREA, Pg. Lluís Companys 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain.

Abstract

Bovine mastitis affects animal health and welfare, reducing milk yields and quality. Therefore, prompt diagnosis at the early stages of disease when intervention can be most effective is key. To that purpose, Biosens4PrecisionMastitis aims to deliver diagnostic tools to detect biomarkers of the early immune response of cows: miRNAs, cytokines, and antimicrobial peptides. The project was set to work with naturally infected cows to identify bovine mastitis biomarkers in milk initially. Representative samples from the herd of 35 Holstein-Friesian dairy cows were collected in four rounds. Based on SCC values, five healthy cows with clinical mastitis and five with subclinical mastitis were identified to collect their milk on consecutive days (from day three to 14). Samples were initially analyzed by microbial culturing to determine the total number of bacteria and quantify the most common microorganisms causing mastitis (i.e., S. agalactiae, S. uberis, S. aureus, etc). Results did not reflect the infection's severity but could be used to guide the antibiotic therapy of cows with mastitis at an advanced stage. The total RNA isolated from the selected milk samples was sequenced, providing unique miRNA patterns. Specific miRNAs showing increased expression levels in the clinical and subclinical samples were selected as potential biomarkers, some agreeing with previously identified miRNAs for bovine mastitis (e.g., miRNA221, miRNA223, miRNA146b, miRNA29a, miRNA29c), while others were identified for the first time (e.g., miRNA146a, miRNA142-5p, miRNA15a, miRNA-155, miRNA let-7i). In addition, milk smears of 30 cows (10 healthy, 10 with subclinical mastitis, 10 with clinical mastitis) were prepared and immunohistochemically stained with 16 factors (Hdef2, Hdef 3, IL-10, IFNg, IL-2, LL-37, IL-1a, IL-4, IL-6, IL-12, IL-13, IL-17A, TNFα, TGFβ1, NFκB, IL-8) at six different days. Milk of healthy cows showed high numbers of immunoreactive cells, indicating the readiness of host immunity under continuous pathogen exposure. Consequently, it was confirmed that local cellular and humoral immune responses play a role in defense responses. Data from mastitic cows showed that inflammatory responses to intramammary infection were driven by IL-1α, IL-4, IL-12, IL-17A, and IFN-γ in subclinical mastitis and by IL-1α, IL-4, IL-6, and IL-17A in clinical mastitis. Two factors were identified as potential biomarkers of bovine mastitis: IL-10, which provides anti-inflammatory defense, and β-defensin 3, with microbicidal activity. In parallel, novel sensing platforms were developed to Provide the highest sensitivity, selectivity, and accuracy for detecting the previously identified biomarkers. A porous silicon nanostructured electrochemical transducer prepared by the polymerization and carbonization of furfuryl alcohol was fabricated and characterized, showing outstanding electrochemical performance with fast electron transfer kinetics, large effective surface area with low background current, wide potential window, and high reproducibility and stability. To demonstrate its biosensing capabilities towards detecting miRNAs, miRNA223 was initially used as a target. Thorough optimization (e.g., pore size, capture probe concentration, incubation time) was performed, delivering a biosensor to detect low pM concentrations of miRNA223 in diluted milk accurately. Current work focuses on demonstrating the on-farm performance of a multiplexed biosensor.

Keywords: Bovine mastitis, Biomarkers, Management

Assessing swine as potential hosts for emerging Coronaviruses

Stefania Leopardi[1], Tim I. Breugem[2], Younjung Kim[3], Francesca Festa1[4], Mart M. Lamers[2], Bart Haagmans[2], Pierre Nouvellet[3,5] and Paola De Benedictis[1]*

[1]Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale delle Venezie, Viale dell'Università 10 – 35020 Legnaro (Padua), Italy. [2]Department of Viroscience, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, Netherlands. [3]Department of Evolution, Behaviour, and Environment, School of Life Sciences, University of Sussex, BN1 9RH Brighton, UK . [4]Department of Biology and Biotechnology "Charles Darwin", Sapienza University of Rome, Viale dell'Università 32 - 00185 Rome, Italy. [5]Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ London, UK.

ICRAD First Call 2023

*Correspondence:
Paola De Benedictis
pdebenedictis@izsvenezie.it

Abstract

Spillover of Coronaviruses (CoVs) from wildlife might cause severe human diseases. In this context, the industrialization of farming plays a paramount role as it translates into an increased likelihood of viral amplification in bridging hosts, meaning wider opportunities for human infections. Emerging CoVs are also a major threat to swine production worldwide. Among swine emerging CoVs, Swine Acute Diarrhoea Syndrome Coronavirus (SADS-CoV) and Porcine Epidemic Diarrhoea virus (PEDV) show phylogenetic relatedness with bat viruses, suggesting these hosts as major source for novel coronaviruses. In particular, the bat species Rhinolophus sinicus is considered the proximate source for SADS-CoV, which shares 95% identity with batCoV HKU2 over the complete genome. Similarly, partial sequences showing 92.6% identity with PEDV have been found in bats of the genus Murina, also clustering with European Myotis bats. Indeed, bats carry the highest diversity of CoVs among mammals, and therefore, they are speculated to be the evolutionary source of most Covs of the genera Alpha- and Beta-coronavirus, including several human CoVs. ConVErgence project aims to investigate swine's role as potential hosts for emerging CoVs. It initially stemmed from data from a small subset of Italian swine farms, indicating a wide bat biodiversity around the farms, with Pipistrellus kuhlii the most represented species. Preliminary investigations showed the undetected circulation of CoVs in pigs and evidence for viral exchange from pigs to bats. ConVErgence novelty stands on the methodologies applied. Indeed, ConVErgence exploited cutting-edge technologies from different fields, including veterinary medicine, ecology, virology, epidemiology, and mathematical modeling. Within the ConVErgence framework, we enlarged the study area, including 18 swine farms in Northern Italy. Samples were collected to rule out a 5% prevalence of CoVs within the population. We used a checklist to describe the main practices related to biosafety and to identify possible contacts with humans. We combined bioacoustics and visual inspections to investigate bat activity within farms and possible interface with pigs. Longitudinal samplings were performed both in farms and in P. kuhlii colonies. Molecular analyses supported the endemic circulation of two swine CoVs but showed no evidence for the circulation of CoVs of bat or human origins. Further molecular and phenotypic characterizations are currently ongoing. Serological investigations and the assessment of swine susceptibility to selected human and bat CoVs are currently in progress. To date, we found no evidence of pig exposure to human-origin CoVs. First, mathematical models were built to describe the intra-year dynamics of viruses in bats, preliminary fitted to available data on lyssaviruses. ConVErgence also developed a general framework for infectious disease circulation (non-pathogen specific) within pig farms. A mathematical model to investigate the transmission/persistence of CoVs in swine will eventually be adjusted to field data.

Keywords: Coronaviruses, Swine, Wildlife, Emergency

Analysis of the health status of Belgian 'healthy' pig farms using an integrated, high-tech approach

Friso Griffioen[1], Nick Vereecke[1,2], Sieglinde Coppens[2], Gauthier Daneels[2], Davide Lelli[3], Tomasz Stadejek[4], Spyridon Kritas[5], Gyula Balka[6], Jeroen Dewulf[7], Dominiek Maes[8], Sebastiaan Theuns[2], Hans Nauwynck[1]

[1]Laboratory of Virology, Department of Translational Physiology, Infectiology, and Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ghent University, Merelbeke, Belgium [2]PathoSense bv, Lier. Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ghent University, Merelbeke, Belgium [3]Department of Virology, Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Lombardia e Dell'Emilia Romagna, Brescia, Italy. [4]Department of Pathology and Veterinary Diagnostics, Institute of Veterinary Medicine, Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Warsaw, Poland. [5]Laboratory of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, School of Veterinary Medicine, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece. [6]Department of Pathology, University of Veterinary Medicine Budapest, Budapest, Hungary. [7]Epidemiology Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, Reproduction and Population Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ghent University, Merelbeke, Belgium. [8]Porcine Health Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, Reproduction and Population Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ghent University, Merelbeke, Belgium.

ICRAD First Call 2023

*Correspondence:
Hans Nauwynck
hans.nauwynck@ugent.be

Abstract

Pig disease results from complex interactions between infections, environment, and management. To fully understand the conditions that lead to clinical signs, it is important to use highly sensitive technologies that detect pathogens (third-generation sequencing; PathoSense), sense environmental conditions (Healthy Climate Monitor), and determine the biosecurity (Biocheck.UGent digital platform). The present study examined whether 'healthy' farms are healthy or if hidden problems exist. Three sows in the same farrowing room were selected within each 5 'healthy' farms. Two days post-partum, three viable piglets of each sow were selected and ear tagged. The pigs were sampled weekly (nasal, tracheobronchial, and fecal swabs) until 12 weeks of age. Samples were transported at 4°C, pooled, and analyzed by a new metagenomics platform (PathoSense b.v.) for identifying all viruses and bacteria based on third-generation sequencing. A Healthy Climate Monitor was used to gather environmental data (T°, relative humidity, [NH3], [CO2], and [dust particles with diameters of 1 µm, 2.5 µm, and 10µm]). Biosecurity levels were assessed through the Biocheck.UGent questionnaire. Sneezing, coughing, and diarrhea were observed on 3, 3, and 5 of the five farms, respectively. During the observation period, 4 to 7 viral respiratory infections (porcine influenza virus A (5/5), porcine cytomegalovirus (5/5), porcine hemagglutinating encephalomyelitis virus (5/5), porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (4/5), porcine parainfluenza virus 1 (4/5), porcine respiratory coronavirus (3/5)) and 6 to 7 viral enteric infections (rotavirus A, B, C, and H (5/5), sapovirus (4/5), kobuvirus (3/5), sapelovirus (2/5), teschovirus (2/5), rotavirus F (1/5)) occurred. In addition, several bacterial co-infections occurred. Compared to the reference values, ambient temperature was too high in the farrowing units of farms 2 and 3 and the fattening unit of farm 3. Relative humidity was lower in the farrowing units of farms 3 and 4. Carbon dioxide levels were higher in the nursery and finishing units of farm 2. Ammonia levels were higher in the fattening unit of farm 3. Dust concentrations were elevated (i) for 1µm particles in the farrowing and finishing units of farm 3, (ii) for 2.5µm particles in the farrowing units of farms 1 through 4 and in the finishing units of farms 2 and 3, and (iii) for 10µm particles in the farrowing and finishing units of farms 2 and 3. Overall biosecurity scores were lower on farms 1 (63/100) and 3 (74/100), while the scores of farms 2 (83/100), 4 (81/100), and 5 (88/100) were higher compared to the benchmark (75/100). In conclusion, it became clear that many viral and bacterial (co)infections occurred on so-called 'healthy' farms, which mostly do not result in disease outbreaks. The clinical signs that were sometimes observed could be related to some (co)infections, environmental deviations, and biosecurity problems. Therefore, more efforts should be made on 'healthy' farms to reduce the number of infections and to improve environmental conditions and biosecurity. This work forms an ideal reference for future analysis on farms with clear respiratory and enteric problems.

Keywords:Pig farms, Biosecurity, High-tech approach

Novel strategies to enhance vaccine immunity in neonatal livestock

Olivier Bourry[1], Tiphany Chrun[1], Bruno Correia[2], Delphyne Descamps[3], Marie Di Placido[4], Jean-Francois Eleouet[3], Cecile Ferret[3], Carla Fernandez-Martin[4], Even Fossum[5], Marie Galloux[3], Sara Hagglund[6], John A. Hammond[4], Kathleen Humphreys[4], Ben Jackson[4], Kirankumar Katta[5], Vinayaka Kotraiah[7], Anthony Marchand[2], Patricia Renson[1], Sabine Riffault[3], Julian Seago[4], Caroline Tessier[3], Michelle Thom[4], Jean-Francois Valarcher[6], and Simon P. Graham[4,*]

[1]Agence Nationale de Sécurité Sanitaire Alimentation Environnement Travail (Anses), Ploufragan, France. [2]Laboratory of Protein Design and Immunoengineering, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland. [3] Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, UVSQ, VIM, 78350 Jouy-en-Josas, France. [4]The Pirbright Institute, Ash Road, Pirbright, United Kingdom. [5]Department of Immunology, Division of Laboratory Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway. [6]Department of Clinical Science, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU), Uppsala, Sweden. [7]Leidos Life Sciences, Leidos Inc., Frederick, MD, USA.

Abstract

The NEOVACC project addresses the significant challenge of effective vaccination of neonatal animals in the presence of maternally derived antibodies (MDA). This is critically important since MDA inhibits immune responses of neonates to vaccination and as MDA wanes immunised animals remain vulnerable to pathogen challenge. The NEOVACC project is evaluating novel vaccine strategies designed to enhance immune responses in neonatal animals with MDA. We are focussed on bovine respiratory syncytial virus (BRSV) and porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV), as prime examples of endemic livestock diseases that require next-generation vaccines to improve control. We are exploring a state-of-the-art structural vaccinology approach to design novel immunogens based on the BRSV pre-fusion (preF) protein for neonatal calves. We are determining whether discrete differences exist between maternal and calf antibody binding to epitopes on BRSV preF. We will then design and select BRSV preF epitope scaffolds which would not be recognised by MDA and evaluate their vaccine potential. The second approach aims to exploit DNA vaccination to overcome MDA interference. We are evaluating novel DNA-based vaccines encoding well-defined PRRSV antigens fused to moieties that target antigen to professional antigen-presenting cells and assessing their ability to prime immune responses in piglets with MDA and augment a subsequent modified live vaccine (MLV) boost. We are conducting a parallel in vitro investigation to better understand how MDA interfere with PRRSV vaccination. Finally, we are evaluating the potential of peptide-based immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) to adjuvant responses to PRRSV MLV. By transiently blocking negative immunoregulation, we hypothesise that PRRSV MLV genetically engineered to express ICIs would allow neonatal animals to mount more robust immune responses. In addition to developing novel BRSV and PRRSV vaccine candidates, the approaches employed to design vaccine antigens, to deliver DNA encoded antigens in a targeted manner to augment B cell responses, and to potentiate responses through immune checkpoint inhibition may be more broadly applied, including to human clinical settings.

Keywords: Vaccines, Neonatal immunisation, Maternal antibody, Bovine respiratory syncytial virus, Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus

Understanding the dynamics and evolution of swine influenza viruses in Europe: relevance for improved intervention in enzootically infected pig herds

Sarah Thiroux[1], Pia Ryt-Hansen[2], Annika Graaf[3], Benjamin Mollett[4], Gerard E. M. Valls[5], Laura Soliani[6], Christelle Fablet[1], Marianne V. Agerlin[2], Shannon Leetham[4], Kathrin Lillie-Jaschniski[7], Séverine Hervé[1], Liani Coronado[5], Andrea Luppi[6], Gautier Richard[1], Céline Deblanc[1], Mathieu Andraud[1], Gwénaëlle Dauphin[7], Nicolas Rose[1], Timm Harder[3], Chiara Chiapponi[6], Helen E. Everett[4], Enrique M. M. de Antonio[5], Lars E. Larsen[2], Gaëlle Simon[1*]

[1]French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety (ANSES), Ploufragan-Plouzané-Niort Laboratory, Ploufragan, France. [2]University of Copenhagen (UCPH), Institute for Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Frederiksberg, Denmark. [3]Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut (FLI), Institute of Diagnostic Virology, Greifswald-Insel Riems, Germany. [4]Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA), Virology, New Haw, Addlestone, Surrey, United Kingdom. [5]Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), Sanitat i Anatomia Animals, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain. [6]Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Lombardia e dell'Emilia Romagna (IZSLER), Brescia, Italy. [7]Ceva Santé Animale S.A., Libourne, France.

ICRAD First Call 2023

*Correspondence:
Gaëlle Simon
gaelle.simon@anses.fr

Abstract

In recent years, swine influenza A virus (swIAV) infections have changed from epizootic to enzootic patterns in many industrial European pig herds. These self-sustaining forms of swine influenza adversely affect animal welfare and production economics, implying increased public health risks. The conditions sustaining recurrent swIAV infections, by influencing swIAV transmission and spread, may depend on factors such as production systems, biosecurity level, housing conditions, co-infections, vaccination protocols, vaccine strain composition, and pre-existing herd immunity, but many of them are poorly understood. Thus, an urgent need is to increase knowledge of within-herd virus dynamics and evolution to design intervention and prevention measures to limit swIAV persistence in intensive herds and counteract impaired animal welfare, production losses, and the emergence of new swIAVs. As part of the PIGIE project, the within-herd swIAV infection dynamics have been compared in six European countries. Herds with continuous swIAV circulations were identified in Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, Spain, and the United Kingdom. In each country, longitudinal studies were completed in two herds, including two cohorts of sows and piglets per herd, according to a standard sampling frame. In addition, data on herd management and biosecurity activities were collected. Circulating swIAV was detected in the 24 monitored batches. The incidence in each age group varied between herds, batches, and countries. Viral RNA was detected in nasal swabs for more than 50% of the piglets sampled on at least one of three occasions between 3 and 10 weeks of age in each country. Prolonged shedding or re-infection was detected in some animals. Several swIAV lineages were identified in each country. They included H1avN2 (HA-1C) in five countries, H1avN1 (HA-1C) in three countries, H1N1pdm (HA-1A) in five countries, and H1huN2 (HA-1B) in three countries. Successive or mixed infections with different lineages were observed in 7/12 herds in four countries. High proportions of sows (vaccinated or not) were swIAV seropositive one week after farrowing in all farms. At that time, more than 50% of piglets were also found seropositive in 75% of batches, having acquired maternally derived antibodies (MDA). However, subsequent infections of MDA-positive piglets led to different serological profiles within the study period. Thus, while the decay of MDAs was observed in most herds with a significant decrease after weaning, it was observed that herds experiencing swIAV infections late in the nursery maintained high antibody levels. This was the first coordinated longitudinal study on swIAV dynamics performed in parallel in several European countries, facilitating comparisons. The results emphasized that swIAV is highly prevalent, and strain subtyping further underlined the complexity of the swIAV dynamics, pathogenesis, and immunological correlates of protection. All data have been compiled to identify potential farm-specific intervention measures. When possible, they have been implemented, and their efficiency in controlling swIAV infection will be evaluated in a second round of longitudinal studies.

Keywords: Dynamics, Evolution, Swine influenza viruses, Europe, Pig herds

Deciphering the role of Influenza D virus in bovine and human respiratory diseases in Europe

Moreno A.[1*,] Hagglund S.[2,*], Saegerman C.[3,*], Yilmaz H.[4,*], Alvarez I.[2], Borekci S.[5], Carrera M.[1], Chiapponi C.[1], Gaudino M.[6], Kocazeybek B.[5], Lion A.[6], Meyer G.[6], Sikht F.Z.[6], Soliani L.[1], Turan N.[4], Valarcher J.F.[2], Yilmaz A.[4], Zohari S.[7], Ducatez M.F.[6,#]

[1]Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Lombardia e dell'Emilia Romagna, Department of Virology, Italy. [2]Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Clinical Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden. [3]University of Liège, Department of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases, Liège, Belgium. [4]Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa, Veterinary Faculty, Department of Virology, Istanbul, Turkey. [5]Cerrahpasa Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa, Istanbul, Turkey. [6]IHAP, Université de Toulouse, INRAE, ENVT, Toulouse, France. [7]Department of Microbiology, National Veterinary Institute, Ulls väg 2B, 75189 Uppsala, Sweden.

[*]Co-first authors

ICRAD First Call 2023

*Correspondence:
Mariette Ducatez
Mariette.Ducatez@envt.fr

Abstract

Recent studies in the USA, Asia and our preliminary work in Europe have identified a new genus of the *Orthomyxoviridae* family named Influenza D virus (IDV). This novel virus was shown to infect farm animals, including swine and cattle, and to replicate and transmit in ferrets efficiently, the animal model of choice for transmission of many zoonotic pathogens, including influenza A virus (IAV), to humans. Our objective is to develop an integrated approach to not only assess the emergence threat associated with influenza D viruses circulating in Europe but also the role played by the virus in cattle respiratory disease complex and the risk it may play for humans. By promoting the transfer and exchange of knowledge and expertise between the partners, we will pave the way towards scientific-based decision-making and developing effective strategies for cattle respiratory disease control and risk assessment for Influenza D virus infections in Humans. The first question relates to the role of IDV among respiratory pathogens of cattle and humans. A first work package will therefore be to survey IDV occurrence and prevalence in the two species in Europe and collect field data (samples for respiratory pathogens detection, bioaerosols, cloths from farm premises, but also questionnaires on biosecurity and mitigation measures) to understand IDV's place within its pathogens counterparts. In field samples collected at a given time, it will be impossible to understand the sequence of infection (which pathogen is more likely to infect first/second) nor whether the co-circulating pathogens act in synergy or antagonism in the host. Therefore, a second work package will enable answering more mechanistic questions using *in-vitro* and *ex-vivo* culture methods to understand the field situation better. All parts of the projects will support the models for risk assessment (third work package) with clear benefits for animals (cattle) and public health. To estimate the IDV human risk exposure through aerosols in cattle farms at risk (viral circulation), a quantitative risk assessment modeling will be performed and refined using field (WP1) and experimental (WP2) data. Based on prospective scenario analysis, the previous modeling will evaluate the effect of medical (vaccination) and sanitary (biosecurity) mitigation measures. This project addresses the need for capacity building at the EU level to improve the EU's scientific assessment capacity and international competitiveness. We will achieve this goal by promoting cross-disciplinary cooperation between the partner institutes representing four European countries and a non-EU member State. The project will allow the sharing of knowledge, skills, competencies, and expertise in the field, enabling capacity building within Europe. The project's output will enhance European cooperation and generate a sustainable network necessary for detecting, preventing, and responding to an emerging animal disease that could threaten not only animal health and welfare but also European food production and, directly or indirectly, human health.

Keywords: Influenza D virus, Bovine, Human, Respiratory diseases, Europe

RodentGate: future rodent management for pig and poultry health

Herwig Leirs[1*], Vanden Broecke B.[1], Sluydts V.[1], Belmain S.R.[2], Bettridge J.M.[2], Thompson H.[2], Bouvaine H.[2], Bray D.[2], Okon H.C.[2], Silva G. R. E.[2], Meerburg B. G.[3], Krijger I.[3], Jacob J.[4], Hüls F.[4], Szczotka-Bochniarz A.[5], Domanska-Blicharz K. [6], Juszkiewicz M.[6], Frant M.[6], Walczak M.[6]

[1]Department of Biology, Evolutionary Ecology Group, University of Antwerp, Antwerpen, Belgium. [2]University of Greenwich, Natural Resources Institute, UK. [3]Dutch Pest & Wildlife Expertise Centre, Wageningen, The Netherlands. [4]Julius Kühn-Institut, Munster, Germany. [5]National Veterinary Research Institute, Dept of Swine Diseases, Pulawy, Poland.

ICRAD First Call 2023

*Correspondence:
Herwig Leirs
Herwig.leirs@uantwerpen.be

Abstract

Apart from consuming and spoiling animal feed and damaging infrastructure in and around farm buildings, rodents are a considerable threat to animal health and One Health. They can cause direct stress to pigs and poultry but are mainly important as carriers of pathogens. These include economically significant diseases like Swine dysentery, Aujeszky's Disease, PCV2, and Encephalomyocarditis. They can act as a primary or secondary host for diseases such as influenza A and avian influenza or as a mechanical reservoir for diseases like African swine fever and play a role in the epidemiology of leptospirosis and salmonellosis and the spreading of antibiotic-resistant bacterial strains. They can pick up the infection from infected livestock, spread it within and between farms, and act as a bridge between wild fauna and livestock. Therefore, they can maintain an infection locally after a farm is emptied and decontaminated after a disease outbreak or livestock turnover. Thus, there are very good reasons for rodent management on pig and poultry farms. Current rodent control is mainly done by using rodenticides. However, concerns about the environmental safety of the most common rodenticides and resistance against them have led to changes in the European and national regulations aimed to restrict their use, which poses new challenges for efficient rodent management on farms. This project, RODENTGATE, will investigate the rodent-related risks for animal health in the pig and poultry industry and how this might change with altered rodent control. Ecologically based rodent management is a strategy that combines an Integrated Pest Management approach with a thorough knowledge of rodent ecology, enabling interventions to be precisely targeted in time and space while being ecologically and economically sustainable. This requires a thorough understanding of rodent demography, space use, dispersal capacities, and appropriate documentation of the rodent population's pathogen presence and transmission patterns. Understanding the transmission mechanisms is crucial since killing hosts may have unexpected effects on spreading an infection. RodentGate's objectives are (1) to document changes in disease risk for pigs and poultry when classical rodent management is prevented, leading to changes in the rodent population, and 2) to propose appropriate economically sustainable, evidence-based strategies for the ecologically based management of rodents and rodent-borne infections around farms. To achieve this, we will (i) document the current status, prevalence, and diversity of rodent-borne pathogens in livestock and wild rodents in and around pig and poultry farms in different parts of the EU and determine the role of rodents in spreading pathogens between farms. (ii) Investigate how pig and poultry health is affected by changes in population composition and abundance following a ban on rodenticides and how the efficiency of rodent management practices on farms can be maintained under restricted or no-rodenticide situations. These questions will be addressed by a multidisciplinary consortium of scientists from Belgium, the UK, Germany, The Netherlands, and Poland, using a combination of analysis of existing data, sampling rodents, environment, and livestock on farms, molecular diagnosis of pathogens, field work on rodent population biology and movements, ecological modeling, control strategy development and communication with the pig and poultry industry and pest control industry.

Keywords: Rodent, Management, Swine health, Poultry health